arXiv:1603.04431v2 [math.FA] 17 Mar 2016

A REMARK ON ANALYTIC FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE

L. GOLINSKII AND S. KUPIN

Abstract. We apply a recent result of Borichev–Golinskii–Kupin on the Blaschke-type conditions for zeros of analytic functions on the complex plane with a cut along the positive semi-axis to the problem of the eigenvalues distribution of the Fredholm-type analytic operator-valued functions.

Introduction and main results

The goal of this note is to refine partially (for a certain range of parameters) a recent result of R. Frank [5, Theorem 3.1] on some quantitative aspects of the analytic Fredholm alternative. Precisely, the problem concerns the distribution of eigenvalues of finite type of an operator-valued function $W(\cdot) = I + T(\cdot)$, analytic on a domain Ω of the complex plane. We always assume that $T \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$, the set of compact operators on the Hilbert space. A number $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$ is called an *eigenvalue of finite type of* W if $\ker W(\lambda_0) \neq \{0\}$, (i.e., -1 is an eigenvalue of $T(\lambda_0)$), if $W(\lambda_0)$ is Fredholm (that is, both $\dim \ker W(\lambda_0)$ and $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{ran} W(\lambda_0)$ are finite), and if W is invertible in some punctured neighborhood of λ_0. The function W admits the following expansion at any eigenvalue of finite type, see [6, Theorem XI.8.1],

$$W(\lambda) = E(\lambda)(P_0 + (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{k_1} P_1 + \ldots + (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{k_l} P_l)G(\lambda),$$

where $P_1, \ldots, P_l$ are mutually disjoint projections of rank one, $P_0 = I - P_1 - \ldots - P_l$, $k_1 \leq \ldots \leq k_l$ are positive integers, and E, G are analytic operator-valued functions, defined and invertible in some neighborhood of λ_0. The number

$$\nu(\lambda_0, W) := k_1 + \ldots + k_l$$

is usually referred to as an *algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue* λ_0.

The following result, Theorem 3.1, is a cornerstone of the paper [5]. By $\{\lambda_j\}$ we always denote the eigenvalues of $W = I + T$ of finite type, repeated accordingly to their algebraic multiplicity.

Theorem A. *Let $T(\cdot)$ be an analytic operator-valued function on the domain $\Omega = \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$, so that $T \in \mathcal{S}_p$, $p \geq 1$, the set of the Schatten–von Neumann operators of order p. Assume that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$*

$$\|T(\lambda)\|_p \leq \frac{M}{d^\rho(\lambda, \mathbb{R}_+)|\lambda|^\sigma}, \qquad \rho > 0, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho + \sigma > 0, \tag{0.1}$$

$d(\lambda, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is the Euclidean distance from λ to the positive semi-axis. Then for all $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$ and $\nu \geq 1$

$$\sum_{|\lambda_j| \leq M^{1/(\rho+\sigma)}} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\lambda_j, \mathbb{R}_+)\, |\lambda_j|^{\frac{q-p\rho-1-\varepsilon}{2}} \leq C M^{\frac{q+p\rho+1+\varepsilon}{2(\rho+\sigma)}}, \tag{0.2}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A56; Secondary: 47B10.

where $q := (p\rho + 2p\sigma - 1 + \varepsilon)_+$, *and*

$$\sum_{|\lambda_j|\ge \nu M^{1/(\rho+\sigma)}} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\lambda_j, \mathbb{R}_+)\, |\lambda_j|^{\rho+\sigma-p\rho-1-\varepsilon-\varepsilon'} \le \frac{C}{\nu^{\varepsilon'}}\, M^{\frac{\rho+\sigma-\varepsilon'}{\rho+\sigma}}. \tag{0.3}$$

Here C *is a generic positive constant which depends on* $p, \rho, \sigma, \varepsilon, \varepsilon'$.

The similar results for $\rho = 0$ are also available.

The proof of this result is based on the identification of the eigenvalues of finite type of W with the zeros of certain *scalar* analytic functions, known as the *regularized determinants*

$$f(\lambda) := \det\nolimits_p(I + T(\lambda)),$$

see [7, 9] for their definition and basic properties. The point is that the set of eigenvalues of finite type of W agrees with the zero set of f, and moreover, $\nu(\lambda_0, W) = \mu_f(\lambda_0)$, the multiplicity of zero of f at λ_0 (see [5, Lemma 3.2] for the rigorous proof). Thereby, the problem is reduced to the study of the zero distributions of certain analytic functions, the latter being a classical topic of complex analysis going back to Jensen [8] and Blaschke [1].

A key ingredient of the proof in [5] is a result of [2, Theorem 0.2] on the Blaschke-type conditions for zeros of analytic functions in the unit disk which can grow at the direction of certain (finite) subsets of the unit circle. In a recent manuscript [3] some new such conditions on zeros of analytic functions in the unit disk and on some other domains, including the complex plane with a cut along the positive semi-axis, are suggested. Here is a particular case of [3, Theorem 4.5] which seems relevant. We use a convenient shortening

$$\{u\}_{c,\varepsilon} := (u_- - 1 + \varepsilon)_+ - \min(c, u_+), \qquad c \ge 0, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad u = u_+ - u_- \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem B. *Let* h *be an analytic function on* $\Omega = \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$, $|h(-1)| = 1$, *subject to the growth condition*

$$\log|h(\lambda)| \le \frac{K}{|\lambda|^r}\, \frac{(1+|\lambda|)^b}{d^a(\lambda, \mathbb{R}_+)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+, \quad a, b \ge 0, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $Z(h)$ *be its zero set, counting multiplicities (the divisor of* h*). Denote*

$$s := 3a - 2b + 2r.$$

Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ *there is a positive number* C *which depends on all parameters involved such that the following inequality holds*

$$\sum_{z\in Z(h)} d^{a+1+\varepsilon}(z, \mathbb{R}_+)\, \frac{|z|^{s_1}}{(1+|z|)^{s_2}} \le C \cdot K, \tag{0.4}$$

where the parameters s_1, s_2 *are defined by the relations*

$$s_1 := \frac{\{-2r-a\}_{a,\varepsilon} - a - 1 - \varepsilon}{2}, \qquad s_2 := a + 1 + \varepsilon + \frac{\{-2r-a\}_{a,\varepsilon} + \{s\}_{a,\varepsilon}}{2}.$$

We are aimed at proving the results, which refine Theorem A for a certain range of parameters, by using Theorem B.

Theorem 0.1. *Let* $T(\cdot)$ *be an analytic operator-valued function on the domain* $\Omega = \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$, *which satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem A. Assume that*

$$0 < \rho + \sigma \le \frac{\rho}{2}. \tag{0.5}$$

Then for all $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

$$\sum_{|\lambda_j|\le M^{1/(\rho+\sigma)}} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\lambda_j, \mathbb{R}_+)\, |\lambda_j|^{p\sigma-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \le C M^{p+\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2(\rho+\sigma)}}. \tag{0.6}$$

Note that under assumption (0.5)

$$p\sigma - \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} \le -\frac{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}{2} < 0,$$

so for $|\zeta| \le 1$

$$|\zeta|^{p\sigma-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \ge |\zeta|^{-\frac{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}{2}} \ge |\zeta|^{\frac{q-p\rho-1-\varepsilon}{2}},$$

that is, (0.6) is stronger than (0.2) with regard to eigenvalues tending to zero. Theorem B gives the same results, (0.2) and (0.3), as in Theorem A, for the rest of the values of ρ and σ, and the eigenvalues tending to infinity.

The case

$$\rho > 0, \qquad \rho + \sigma < 0, \tag{0.7}$$

is not treated in [5].

Theorem 0.2. *Under conditions* (0.7) *assume that for all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$

$$\|T(\lambda)\|_p \le \frac{M}{d^\rho(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)|\lambda|^\sigma}. \tag{0.8}$$

Then for $-\rho/2 \le \rho+\sigma < 0$ *and all* $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{|\lambda_j|\ge M^{1/(\rho+\sigma)}} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\lambda_j,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda_j|^{p\sigma-\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)} \le C\,M^{p-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2(\rho+\sigma)}}, \tag{0.9}$$

and for $\rho+\sigma < -\rho/2$ *and all* $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{|\lambda_j|\ge M^{1/(\rho+\sigma)}} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\lambda_j,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda_j|^{-\frac{l+3(p\rho+1+\varepsilon)}{2}} \le C\,M^{-\frac{l+p\rho+1+\varepsilon}{2(\rho+\sigma)}}, \tag{0.10}$$

where $l := (-3p\rho-2p\sigma-1+\varepsilon)_+$. *Moreover, under conditions* (0.7), *for all* $\varepsilon,\varepsilon' > 0$ *and* $0 < \mu \le 1$

$$\sum_{|\lambda_j|\le \mu M^{1/(\rho+\sigma)}} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\lambda_j,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda_j|^{\rho+\sigma-p\rho-1-\varepsilon+\varepsilon'} \le C\,\mu^{\varepsilon'}\,M^{\frac{\rho+\sigma+\varepsilon'}{\rho+\sigma}}. \tag{0.11}$$

1. Proof of main results

Proof of Theorem 0.1.
We follow the line of reasoning from [5]. The scaling $T_1(\lambda) := T(M^{1/(\rho+\sigma)}\,\lambda)$ looks reasonable, so

$$\|T_1(\lambda)\|_p \le \frac{1}{d^\rho(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda|^\sigma},$$

and, by [9, Theorem 9.2, (b)], we have for the determinant $f_1 = \det_p(I+T_1)$

$$\log|f_1(\lambda)| \le \frac{\Gamma_p}{d^{p\rho}(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda|^{p\sigma}}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+. \tag{1.1}$$

To apply Theorem B we have to ensure the normalization condition. Note that the function T_1 tends to zero along the left semi-axis as long as $\rho+\sigma > 0$, so the inequality (see [9, Theorem 9.2, (c)])

$$|f_1(\lambda)-1| \le \varphi(\|T_1(\lambda)\|_p), \quad \varphi(t) := t\exp\bigl(\Gamma_p(t+1)^p\bigr), \quad t \ge 0, \tag{1.2}$$

holds with a suitable constant Γ_p which depends only on p, and provides a lower bound for f_1 whenever the right side is small enough. We have for $t \ge 1$ and $\lambda = -t \in \mathbb{R}_-$

$$|f_1(-t)-1| \le \frac{C_1}{t^{\rho+\sigma}},$$

(in the sequel C_k stand for generic positive constants depending on the parameters involved). If $t \ge (2C_1)^{1/(\rho+\sigma)} = C_2$, then $|f_1(-t)| \ge 1/2$, and so

$$\log|f_1(-t)| \ge -2(1-|f_1(-t)|) \ge -\frac{2C_1}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Next, put

$$h(\lambda) := \frac{f_1(tl)}{f_1(-t)}\,, \qquad h(-1) = 1. \tag{1.4}$$

It follows from (1.1) and (1.3) that for $t \ge C_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\log|h(\lambda)| = \log|f_1(tl)| - \log|f_1(-t)| &\le \frac{\Gamma_p}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\,\frac{1}{d^{p\rho}(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda|^{p\sigma}} + \frac{2C_1}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\\ &\le \frac{C_3}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\left(\frac{1}{d^{p\rho}(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda|^{p\sigma}} + 1\right) \le \frac{C_3}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\,\frac{(1+|\lambda|)^{p(\rho+\sigma)}}{d^{p\rho}(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda|^{p\sigma}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem B applies now with

$$a = p\rho, \quad r = p\sigma, \quad b = p(\rho+\sigma), \quad K = \frac{C_3}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\,,$$

and $s = a$, $\{s\}_{a,\varepsilon} = -a$. In view of (0.5) one has $2r + a = p(\rho+2\sigma) \le 0$, so

$$\{-2r-a\}_{a,\varepsilon} = -\min(a, -2r-a) = 2r + a = p\rho + 2p\sigma,$$

(recall that, by the assumption, $a > -2r - a$). Hence

$$s_1 = \frac{2p\sigma - 1 - \varepsilon}{2}\,, \qquad s_2 = p\rho + p\sigma + 1 + \varepsilon,$$

and (0.4) implies

$$\sum_{z\in Z(h)} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(z,\mathbb{R}_+)\,\frac{|z|^{\frac{2p\sigma-1-\varepsilon}{2}}}{(1+|z|)^{p\rho+p\sigma+1+\varepsilon}} \le \frac{C_4}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\,,$$

or

$$t^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \sum_{\zeta\in Z(f_1)} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\zeta,\mathbb{R}_+)\,\frac{|\zeta|^{\frac{2p\sigma-1-\varepsilon}{2}}}{(t+|\zeta|)^{p\rho+p\sigma+1+\varepsilon}} \le \frac{C_4}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\,.$$

For $|\zeta| \le 1$ we fix t, say, $t = C_2$, and since $t + |\zeta| \le C_2 + 1$, we come to

$$\sum_{\zeta\in Z(f_1)\cap\overline{\mathbb{D}}} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\zeta,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\zeta|^{p\sigma-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \le C_5,$$

which, after scaling, is (0.6). The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 0.2.
The idea is much the same with the only technical differences. In the above notation relation (1.1) still holds, and the function T_1 tends to zero as $t \to 0-$ whenever $\rho + \sigma < 0$. So

$$\log|f_1(-t)| \ge -2(1-|f_1(-t)|) \ge -\frac{2C_1}{t^{\rho+\sigma}} = -2C_1 t^{|\rho+\sigma|}\,, \qquad 0 < t \le C_2. \tag{1.5}$$

For the function h (1.4) we now have

$$\log|h(\lambda)| \le C_3 t^{|\rho+\sigma|}\left(\frac{1}{d^{p\rho}(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda|^{p\sigma}} + 1\right),$$

and as

$$\frac{1}{d^{p\rho}(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\lambda|^{p\sigma}} + 1 \le \frac{|\lambda|^{p|\sigma|} + |\lambda|^{p\rho}}{d^{p\rho}(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)} \le |\lambda|^{p\rho}\,\frac{(1+|\lambda|)^{p|\rho+\sigma|}}{d^{p\rho}(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)}\,,$$

we come to the bound

$$\log|h(\lambda)| \le C_3 t^{|\rho+\sigma|}\,|\lambda|^{p\rho}\,\frac{(1+|\lambda|)^{p|\rho+\sigma|}}{d^{p\rho}(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)}\,. \tag{1.6}$$

Theorem B applies with

$$a=p\rho,\quad r=-a=-p\rho,\quad b=-p(\rho+\sigma),\quad K=\frac{C_3}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\,,$$

and $-2r-a=a>0$, so

$$\{-2r-a\}_{a,\varepsilon}=-a=-p\rho,\qquad s_1=-p\rho-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\,.$$

The sign of $s=3a-2b+2r=p(3\rho+2\sigma)$ (which can be either positive or negative) affects the computation of $\{s\}_{a,\varepsilon}$, so we will differ two situations. In the case $-\rho/2\le\rho+\sigma<0$ we have

$$s>0,\qquad \{s\}_{a,\varepsilon}=-\min(a,s_+)=-s, \tag{1.7}$$

since, by (0.7), $s_+=s=p(3\rho+2\sigma)<p\rho=a$. So $s_2=-p(\rho+\sigma)+1+\varepsilon$, and (0.4) leads to

$$t^{p|\rho+\sigma|+\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}\sum_{\zeta\in Z(f_1)} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\zeta,\mathbb{R}_+)\,\frac{|\zeta|^{-p\rho-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}}{(t+|\zeta|)^{p|\rho+\sigma|+1+\varepsilon}}\le\frac{C_4}{t^{\rho+\sigma}}\,,\quad 0<t\le C_2. \tag{1.8}$$

A simple bound $(C_2+|\zeta|)^{-1}\ge C_5\,|\zeta|^{-1}$ for $|\zeta|\ge 1$ and fixed $t=C_2$ gives

$$\sum_{\zeta\in Z(f_1)\cap\mathbb{D}_-} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\zeta,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\zeta|^{p\sigma-\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}\le C_6,\qquad \mathbb{D}_-:=\{|\zeta|\ge 1\},$$

which, after scaling, is (0.9).

If $|\zeta|\le\mu\le 1$, we multiply (1.8) through by $t^{\rho+\sigma-1+\varepsilon'}$ and integrate it termwise with respect to t from 0 to μC_2 (the idea comes from [4])

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\mu C_2}\frac{t^{(p-1)|\rho+\sigma|+\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}-1+\varepsilon'}}{(t+|\zeta|)^{p|\rho+\sigma|+1+\varepsilon}}\,dt&=|\zeta|^{\rho+\sigma-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}+\varepsilon'}\int_0^{\mu C_2/|\zeta|}\frac{x^{(p-1)|\rho+\sigma|+\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}-1+\varepsilon'}}{(1+x)^{p|\rho+\sigma|+1+\varepsilon}}\,dx\\&\ge C_7\,|\zeta|^{\rho+\sigma-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}+\varepsilon'}\,,\end{aligned}$$

to obtain

$$\sum_{\zeta\in Z(f_1)\cap\mathbb{D}_\mu} d^{p\rho+1+\varepsilon}(\zeta,\mathbb{R}_+)\,|\zeta|^{\rho+\sigma-p\rho-1-\varepsilon+\varepsilon'}\le C_8\,(\mu C_2)^{\varepsilon'},\qquad \mathbb{D}_\mu:=\{|\zeta|\le\mu\},$$

which, after scaling, gives (0.11).

In the case $\rho+\sigma<-\rho/2$ the proof is the same with $s\le 0$ and

$$\{s\}_{a,\varepsilon}=(-3p\rho-2p\sigma-1+\varepsilon)_+=l,\qquad s_2=\frac{p\rho+l}{2}+1+\varepsilon.$$

□

Remark 1.1. *The case* (0.7) *can be reduced to the one considered in Theorem A by means of the transformation (the change of variables)* $\lambda\to 1/\lambda$ *and the general formula*

$$d(1/\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)=\frac{d(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)}{|\lambda|^2}\,,\qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+.$$

Remark 1.2. *The general form of* [3, Theorem 4.5] *allows a finite number of singularities on* $\mathbb{R}_+$. *So we can obtain the similar results on eigenvalues of finite type for analytic operator-valued functions* $W=I+T$ *on* $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$ *subject to the bound*

$$\|T(\lambda)\|_p\le M\,\frac{(1+|\lambda|)^\tau}{d^\rho(\lambda,\mathbb{R}_+)|\lambda|^\sigma}\,\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n|\lambda-t_j|^{c_j}}{\prod_{k=1}^m|\lambda-t_k'|^{d_k}}\,,\quad \rho,\tau,c_j,d_k\ge 0,\quad \sigma\in\mathbb{R},$$

where $\{t_j\}$ and $\{t'_k\}$ are two disjoint finite sets of distinct positive numbers.

References

[1] W. Blaschke. Eine Erweiterung des Satzes von Vitali über Folgen analytischer Funktionen. S.-B. Säcks Akad. Wiss. Leipzig Math.-Natur. KI. **67** (1915), 194–200.

[2] A. Borichev, L. Golinskii, S. Kupin. A Blaschke-type condition and its application to complex Jacobi matrices, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **41** (2009), 117–123.

[3] A. Borichev, L. Golinskii, S. Kupin. On zeros of analytic functions satisfying non-radial growth conditions, manuscript, arXiv: http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04104

[4] M. Demuth; M. Hansmann; G. Katriel. On the discrete spectrum of non-selfadjoint operators, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 9, 2742–2759.

[5] R. Frank. Eigenvalue bounds for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials, III, arxiv: http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.03411.

[6] I. Gokhberg, S. Goldberg, M. Kaashoek. Classes of linear operators, Vol. 1. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.

[7] I. Gokhberg, M. G. Krein. Introduction to the theory of non-selfadjoint operators in the Hilbert space, AMS, Providence, RI, 1969.

[8] J. Jensen. Sur un nouvel et important théorème de la théorie des fonctions, Acta Math. **22** (1899), 359–364.

[9] B. Simon. Trace ideals and their applications, 2nd edition. AMS, Providence, RI, 2005.

Mathematics Division, ILTPE, 47 Science ave., 61103 Kharkov, Ukraine
E-mail address: golinskii@ilt.kharkov.ua

IMB, Université de Bordeaux, 351 ave. de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex, France
E-mail address: skupin@math.u-bordeaux1.fr